

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,550	03/27/2000		Shau-Lin Shue	TS97-232B	4337
75	90	12/05/2001			
George O Sail	е		EXAMINER		
20 McIntosh Dr		_	OWENS, DOUGLAS W		
Poughkeepsie, l	VY 1260	3	0 11 <u>2.10, 2 0 0 22.13</u> 11		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2811	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				P
.5-		Application No	plica	ant(s)
:		09/534,550	SHUE E	ET AL.
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Uni	t
		Douglas W Owe		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication or Reply	appears on the cove	er sheet with the correspor	ndence address
- External file of the control of th	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION COMMU	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, hov . I reply within the statutory mindo will apply and will expire	vever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be con SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the become ARANDONED (35 LIES)	sidered timely. date of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 August 2001 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-f	inal.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for	ormal matters, prosecution 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	n as to the merits is 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19-21 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
I	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		ation	
l <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
l	Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are rejected.			
1	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election require	ment.	
	on Papers	•		
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 March 2000</u> is/are		☐ objected to by the Exam	niner
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) 🔲 🖯	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	ived.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	ived in Application No	·
	 Copies of the certified copies of the preparation of the international left that attached detailed Office action for a life. 	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	National Stage
				andalamah amu Kanika N
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome The translation of the foreign language p			visional application).
15)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 3	on has been received. 5 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12	21.
Attachment(. ,	The second of the second of the	
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗍	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Informal Patent Applic Other:	
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 6

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/534,550

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,907,772 to Iwasaki in view of US Patent No. 5,656,529 to Fukase.

Regarding claim 19, Iwasaki teaches a cylindrical shaped capacitor structure, comprising:

a bottom polysilicon shape (42A) on a first section of an underlying insulator layer (34), wherein the bottom polysilicon shape overlies and contacts a plug (38) disposed in an opening in the insulator layer; and

vertical polysilicon shapes (42B) on a second section of the underlying insulator layer and adjacent the bottom polysilicon shape.

Iwasaki does not teach a capacitor dielectric layer and an upper electrode. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide these layers since they are critical to the operation of a capacitor.

lwasaki does not teach an agglomerated metal silicide layer on the exposed portions of the cylindrical polysilicon shape. Fukase teaches a lower electrode for a capacitor having an agglomerated metal silicide layer on the lower electrode. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of

Application/Control Number: 09/534,550

Art Unit: 2811

Fukase into the device taught by Iwasaki since it is desirable to increase the effective surface area of capacitor electrodes, resulting in greater capacitance.

Regarding claim 20, Iwasaki teaches a capacitor structure, wherein the silicon layer comprises vertical polysilicon shapes connected by a horizontal polysilicon shape.

Regarding claim 21, neither Iwasaki nor Fukase teach a semiconductor device, wherein the silicide layer comprises titanium silicide, cobalt silicide, nickel silicide or platinum silicide. Fukase teaches a semiconductor device, wherein the metal silicide is tungsten silicide or other refractory silicide layers (Col. 6, lines 65-67). Fukase does not explicitly teach a silicide layer chosen from the group consisting of titanium silicide, cobalt silicide, nickel silicide, and platinum silicide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a silicide from the cited group since they are known metal silicides and well suited for the intended use.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/534,550

Art Unit: 2811

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 703-308-6167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DWO November 16, 2001 Steven Loke Primary Examiner

Steven Loke

Page 4